UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

United States of America)
v.) Case No. 7:13-CR-43-FL-1
CLIFTON KELLY LOCKLEAR) Case No. 7.10-010-45-12-1
Defendant)
DETENTION ORDI	ER PENDING TRIAL
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail require that the defendant be detained pending trial.	Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts
	dings of Fact
\Box (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described i	n 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted
of \Box a federal offense \Box a state or local offen	se that would have been a federal offense if federal
jurisdiction had existed - that is	
☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § for which the prison term is 10 years or more	3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)
☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence	is death or life imprisonment.
☐ an offense for which a maximum prison term	of ten years or more is prescribed in
	.*
a felony committed after the defendant had b described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or	een convicted of two or more prior federal offenses or comparable state or local offenses:
☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence but	involves:
☐ a minor victim	
☐ the possession or use of a firearm or dest	ructive device or any other dangerous weapon
☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 22	50
☐ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed federal, state release or local offense.	ed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a
☐ (3) A period of less than five years has elapsed since	the □ date of conviction □ the defendant's release
from prison for the offense described in finding (1).
	presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety nd that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
Alternative	Findings (A)
\Box (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defend	lant has committed an offense
☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten year	rs or more is prescribed in .
□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	

*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (2I U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presur the defendant's appearance and the safet	mption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure y of the community.
	Alt	ernative Findings (B)
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendan	t will not appear.
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendan	t will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
		ment of the Reasons for Detention abmitted at the detention hearing establishes by
		at \square a preponderance of the evidence that a detention hearing, there is no condition, or combination of conditions, that can efendant's appearance and/or the safety of another person or the community.
For the reasons indicated below, there is no condition, or combination of conditions, that can be imposed which would reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and/or safety of another person or the community. The nature of the charges The lack of stable employment		
	The indication of substance abuse	The fact that the charges arose while on state probation
	The defendant's criminal history	The history of probation revocations
	Other:	
Part III—Directions Regarding Detention		
pendir order	orrections facility separate, to the extent pracing appeal. The defendant must be afforded a	of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement ticable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On rney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shal for a court appearance.
Date:	05/06/2013	Robert Judge's Signature
		ROBERT B. JONES, JR., USMJ
		Name and Title